

POLS232

Citizen Politics

View Online



A Theory of Critical Elections: V.O. Key 1955. (n.d.).

<http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.2307/2126401>

Abendschon, S., & Steinmetz, S. (2014). The Gender Gap in Voting Revisited: Women's Party Preferences in a European Context. *Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State & Society*, 21(2), 315–344. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sp/jxu009>

Abramson, P. R., Aldrich, J. H., Blais, A., Diamond, M., Diskin, A., Indridason, I. H., Lee, D. J., & Levine, R. (2010). Comparing Strategic Voting Under FPTP and PR. *Comparative Political Studies*, 43(1), 61–90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414009341717>

Achen, C. H., Bartels, L. M., & Ebooks Corporation. (2016). *Democracy for realists: why elections do not produce responsive government: Vol. Princeton studies in political behavior*. Princeton University Press.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/detail.action?docID=4398651>

Achterberg, P. (2006). Class Voting in the New Political Culture. *International Sociology*, 21(2), 237–261. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0268580906061378>

Adams, J. (n.d.). *Party competition and responsible party government: a theory of spatial competition based upon insights from behavioral voting research*. University of Michigan Press. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3998/mpub.23030>

Anderson, C. J. (2007). The End of Economic Voting? Contingency Dilemmas and the Limits of Democratic Accountability. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 10(1), 271–296. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.10.050806.155344>

Bahador, B., Kemp, G., McMillan, K., & Rudd, C. (Eds.). (2016). *Politics and the media (Second edition)*. Auckland University Press.

Basic Content Analysis - Oxford Scholarship - acprof-9780190215491-chapter-2. (n.d.). <https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190215491.001.0001/acprof-9780190215491-chapter-2?print=pdf>

Blais, A., Gidengil, E., Nadeau, R., & Nevitte, N. (2003). Campaign Dynamics in the 2000 Canadian Election: How the Leader Debates Salvaged the Conservative Party. *Political Science and Politics*, 36(01), 45–50. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096503001677>

Bornschieer, S. (2010). The New Cultural Divide and the Two-Dimensional Political Space in Western Europe. *West European Politics*, 33(3), 419–444. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402381003654387>

- Brandenburg, H., & Van Egmond, M. (2012). Pressed into Party Support? Media Influence on Partisan Attitudes during the 2005 UK General Election Campaign. *British Journal of Political Science*, 42(02), 441–463. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123411000445>
- Brians, C. L. (2005). Women for Women? *American Politics Research*, 33(3), 357–375. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1532673X04269415>
- Brooks, C., Nieuwbeerta, P., & Manza, J. (2006). Cleavage-based voting behavior in cross-national perspective: evidence from six postwar democracies. *Social Science Research*, 35(1), 88–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2004.06.005>
- Building Consensus: The News Media' s Agenda-Setting Roles - 105846097199236. (n.d.). <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/105846097199236?needAccess=true>
- Campbell, R., & Erzeel, S. (2018). Exploring Gender Differences in Support for Rightist Parties: The Role of Party and Gender Ideology. *Politics & Gender*, 14(01), 80–105. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743923X17000599>
- Can Populism Be Defended? William Riker, Gerry Mackie and the Interpretation of Democracy - 44483157.pdf. (n.d.). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/44483157.pdf?refreqid=excelsior:0645628957f2ed2e8910a0aa956c00a6>
- CHARNEY, E., & ENGLISH, W. (2012). Candidate Genes and Political Behavior. *American Political Science Review*, 106(01), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055411000554>
- Citizen politics : public opinion and political parties in advanced industrial democracies / Russell J. Dalton, University of California, Irvine. - 64VUW. (n.d.-a).
- Citizen politics : public opinion and political parties in advanced industrial democracies / Russell J. Dalton, University of California, Irvine. - 64VUW. (n.d.-b).
- Citizen politics : public opinion and political parties in advanced industrial democracies / Russell J. Dalton, University of California, Irvine. - 64VUW. (n.d.-c).
- Converse, P. E. (2006). The nature of belief systems in mass publics (1964). *Critical Review*, 18(1-3), 1–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08913810608443650>
- Conway, B. A., Kenski, K., & Wang, D. (2015). The Rise of Twitter in the Political Campaign: Searching for Intermedia Agenda-Setting Effects in the Presidential Primary. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 20(4), 363–380. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcc4.12124>
- Crooked Hillary and Dumb Trump. (n.d.). <https://benjamins.com/catalog/ip.00004.hof/fulltext/ip.00004.hof.pdf>
- Curran, J., Coen, S., Soroka, S., Aalberg, T., Hayashi, K., Hichy, Z., Iyengar, S., Jones, P., Mazzoleni, G., Papathanassopoulos, S., Rhee, J. W., Rojas, H., Rowe, D., & Tiffen, R. (2014). Reconsidering 'virtuous circle' and 'media malaise' theories of the media: An 11-nation study. *Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism*, 15(7), 815–833. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884913520198>
- Dalton, R. J. (2009). Economics, environmentalism and party alignments: A note on

partisan change in advanced industrial democracies. *European Journal of Political Research*, 48(2), 161–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2008.00831.x>

Dalton, R. J. (2014a). *Citizen politics: public opinion and political parties in advanced industrial democracies* (Sixth edition). SAGE, CQ Press.

Dalton, R. J. (2014b). *Citizen politics: public opinion and political parties in advanced industrial democracies* (Sixth edition). SAGE, CQ Press.

Dalton, R. J. (2014c). *Citizen politics: public opinion and political parties in advanced industrial democracies* (Sixth edition). SAGE, CQ Press.

Dalton, R. J. (2020). *Citizen politics: public opinion and political parties in advanced industrial democracies*. In Chapter 11: Political Representation (Seventh edition). SAGE, CQ Press.

Dalton, R. J., & Anderson, C. J. (2010). *Citizens, Context, and Choice*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199599233.001.0001>

Distorting the News? The Mechanisms of Partisan Media Bias and Its Effects on News Production - s11109-019-09551-y.pdf. (n.d.). <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2Fs11109-019-09551-y.pdf>

Do Polls Influence the Vote? (n.d.). <https://www.press.umich.edu/pdf/0472099213-ch11.pdf>

Dobrzynska, A. (2003). Do the Media Have a Direct Impact on the Vote? The Case of the 1997 Canadian Election. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 15(1), 27–43. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/15.1.27>

Does Attack Advertising Demobilize the Electorate? - 2082710.pdf. (n.d.). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/2082710.pdf?refreqid=excelsior:e548bd20b3f827e841623fe145b7adbf>

Dowling, C. M., & Krupnikov, Y. (2016). The Effects of Negative Advertising. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.013.51>

Druckman, J. N. (2004). Priming the Vote: Campaign Effects in a U.S. Senate Election. *Political Psychology*, 25(4), 577–594. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9221.2004.00388.x>

Economic Voting - Political Science - Oxford Bibliographies. (n.d.). <http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199756223/obo-9780199756223-0057.xml>

Edited by Kate Kenski and Kathleen Hall Jamieson. (n.d.-a). Broadcasting versus Narrowcasting: Do Mass Media Exist in the Twenty-First Century? In *The Oxford Handbook of Political Communication*. <https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199793471-e-62>

Edited by Kate Kenski and Kathleen Hall Jamieson. (n.d.-b). Political Advertising. In *The*

Oxford Handbook of Political Communication.

<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199793471-e-004>

Edited by Kate Kenski and Kathleen Hall Jamieson. (n.d.-c). Political Campaign Debates. In *The Oxford Handbook of Political Communication*.

<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199793471-e-007>

Edited by Kate Kenski and Kathleen Hall Jamieson. (n.d.-d). The Media Agenda: Who (or What) Sets It? In *The Oxford Handbook of Political Communication*.

<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199793471-e-37>

Edited by Kate Kenski and Kathleen Hall Jamieson. (n.d.-e). Theories of Media Bias. In *The Oxford Handbook of Political Communication*.

<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199793471-e-44>

Edited by Russell J. Dalton and Hans-Dieter Klingemann. (n.d.). Economic Models of Voting. In *The Oxford Handbook of Political Behavior*.

<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199270125.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199270125-e-027>

Effects of presidential debate watching and ideology on attitudes and knowledge. (n.d.). 34, 163-172.

<https://search.proquest.com/docview/203263700/fulltextPDF/9A1DC6916E0B4527PQ/1?accountid=14782>

Emmenegger, P., & Manow, P. (2014). Religion and the Gender Vote Gap. *Politics & Society*, 42(2), 166-193. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329213519419>

Enli, G. (2017). Twitter as arena for the authentic outsider: exploring the social media campaigns of Trump and Clinton in the 2016 US presidential election. *European Journal of Communication*, 32(1), 50-61. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0267323116682802>

Entman, R. M. (1991). Framing U.S. Coverage of International News: Contrasts in Narratives of the KAL and Iran Air Incidents. *Journal of Communication*, 41(4), 6-27.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1991.tb02328.x>

Evans, Geoffrey and Tilley, James. (n.d.). How Parties Shape Class Politics: Explaining the Decline of the Class Basis of Party Support. *British Journal of Political Science*, 42(1), 137-161.

<http://search.proquest.com/docview/907179060?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&accountid=14782>

Fisher, S. D., Heath, A. F., Sanders, D., & Sobolewska, M. (2015). Candidate Ethnicity and Vote Choice in Britain. *British Journal of Political Science*, 45(04), 883-905.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123413000562>

Flanagan, T. (2014). *Winning power: Canadian campaigning in the twenty-first century*. McGill-Queen's University Press.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/detail.action?docID=3332673>

Gender, populist attitudes, and voting: explaining the gender gap in voting for populist radical right and populist radical left parties - 01402382.2017.1287448. (n.d.).

<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01402382.2017.1287448?needAccess=true>

Gibbons, Matthew. (n.d.). New Zealand Political Parties' Policies. *New Zealand Sociology*, 26(1), 41-67.

https://tewaharoa.victoria.ac.nz/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_proquest874476805&context=PC&vid=VUWNUI□=en_US&search_scope=64VUW_ALL&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=all&query=any,contains,party%20policies%20in%20new%20zealand%20politics&sortby=rank&offset=0

Gibson, R. K., & Römmele, A. (2009). Measuring the Professionalization of Political Campaigning. *Party Politics*, 15(3), 265-293. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068809102245>

Gibson, R., & Römmele, A. (2001). Changing Campaign Communications: A Party-Centered Theory of Professionalized Campaigning. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*, 6(4), 31-43. <https://doi.org/10.1177/108118001129172323>

Gil de Zúñiga, H., Correa, T., & Valenzuela, S. (2012a). Selective Exposure to Cable News and Immigration in the U.S.: The Relationship Between FOX News, CNN, and Attitudes Toward Mexican Immigrants. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 56(4), 597-615. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2012.732138>

Gil de Zúñiga, H., Correa, T., & Valenzuela, S. (2012b). Selective Exposure to Cable News and Immigration in the U.S.: The Relationship Between FOX News, CNN, and Attitudes Toward Mexican Immigrants. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 56(4), 597-615. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2012.732138>

Happy 80th Birthday National Party - YouTube. (n.d.).

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MltDv8znwJ4&feature=youtu.be>

Harsgor, L. (2018). The Partisan Gender Gap in the United States. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 82(2), 231-251. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfy013>

Haselmayer, M. (2019). Negative campaigning and its consequences: a review and a look ahead. *French Politics*, 17(3), 355-372. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41253-019-00084-8>

Haselmayer, M., & Jenny, M. (2018). Negative campaigning among coalition partners. *Research & Politics*, 5(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053168018796911>

HEALY, A. J., PERSSON, M., & SNOWBERG, E. (2017). Digging into the Pocketbook: Evidence on Economic Voting from Income Registry Data Matched to a Voter Survey. *American Political Science Review*, 111(04), 771-785. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055417000314>

Heath, A. F., Fisher, S. D., Rosenblatt, G., Sanders, D., & Sobolewska, M. (2013). Voting, Abstention, and Defection. In *The Political Integration of Ethnic Minorities in Britain* (pp. 154-170). Oxford University Press.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199656639.003.0008>

Holbrook, T. M. (2002). Presidential Campaigns and the Knowledge Gap. *Political*

Communication, 19(4), 437–454. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584600290109997>

Huber, J. D. (2012). Measuring Ethnic Voting: Do Proportional Electoral Laws Politicize Ethnicity? *American Journal of Political Science*, 56(4), 986–1001. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2012.00601.x>

Hufer, AnkeKornadt, Anna ElenaKandler, ChristianRiemann, Rainer. (n.d.). Genetic and environmental variation in political orientation in adolescence and early adulthood: A Nuclear Twin Family analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 373–387. <https://search.proquest.com/docview/2249962459?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&aaccountid=14782>

Ian Budge. (n.d.). The standard left-right dimension. https://manifestoproject.wzb.eu/down/papers/budge_right-left-scale.pdf

Ian McAllister, 'Bandwagon, underdog, or projection? Opinion polls and electoral choice in Britain, 1979–1987'. (n.d.). <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.2307/2131577>

In Their Own Words: Political Practitioner Accounts of Candidates, Audience... (2018). *Political Communication*. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ufh&AN=127494968&site=ehost-live>

Inglehart, R., & Norris, P. (2003). The Gender Gap in Voting and Public Opinion. In *Rising Tide* (pp. 75–100). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511550362.005>

Jeffrey A. Karp, Susan A. Banducci and Shaun Bowler. (2008). Getting out the Vote: Party Mobilization in a Comparative Perspective. *British Journal of Political Science*, 38(1), 91–112. http://www.jstor.org/stable/27568334?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Justin Fisher, , Edward Fieldhouse, , Mark N. Franklin, , Rachel Gibson, , Marta Cantijoch, , and Christopher Wlezien. (2017a). *The Routledge Handbook of Elections, Voting Behavior and Public Opinion*. Routledge. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/VUW/reader.action?docID=5207628&ppg=410>

Justin Fisher, , Edward Fieldhouse, , Mark N. Franklin, , Rachel Gibson, , Marta Cantijoch, , and Christopher Wlezien. (2017b). *The Routledge Handbook of Elections, Voting Behavior and Public Opinion*. Routledge. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/VUW/reader.action?docID=5207628&ppg=381>

Justin Fisher, , Edward Fieldhouse, , Mark N. Franklin, , Rachel Gibson, , Marta Cantijoch, , and Christopher Wlezien. (2017c). *The Routledge Handbook of Elections, Voting Behavior and Public Opinion*. Routledge. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/VUW/reader.action?docID=5207628&ppg=397>

Justin Fisher, , Edward Fieldhouse, , Mark N. Franklin, , Rachel Gibson, , Marta Cantijoch, , and Christopher Wlezien. (2017d). *The Routledge Handbook of Elections, Voting Behavior and Public Opinion*. Routledge. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/VUW/reader.action?docID=5207628&ppg=79>

Justin Fisher, , Edward Fieldhouse, , Mark N. Franklin, , Rachel Gibson, , Marta Cantijoch,

, and Christopher Wlezien. (2017e). *The Routledge Handbook of Elections, Voting Behavior and Public Opinion*. Routledge.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=5207628&ppg=211>

Justin Fisher, , Edward Fieldhouse, , Mark N. Franklin, , Rachel Gibson, , Marta Cantijoch, , and Christopher Wlezien. (2017f). *The Routledge Handbook of Elections, Voting Behavior and Public Opinion*. Routledge.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=5207628&ppg=236>

Justin Fisher, , Edward Fieldhouse, , Mark N. Franklin, , Rachel Gibson, , Marta Cantijoch, , and Christopher Wlezien. (2017g). *The Routledge Handbook of Elections, Voting Behavior and Public Opinion*. Routledge.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=5207628&ppg=236>

Karp, J. A., Vowles, J., Banducci, S. A., & Donovan, T. (2002). Strategic voting, party activity, and candidate effects: testing explanations for split voting in New Zealand's new mixed system. *Electoral Studies*, 21(1), 1–22.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-3794\(00\)00031-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-3794(00)00031-7)

KAYSER, M. A., & PERESS, M. (2012). Benchmarking across Borders: Electoral Accountability and the Necessity of Comparison. *American Political Science Review*, 106 (03), 661–684. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055412000275>

Lago, I., Bermúdez, S., Guinjoan, M., & Simón, P. (2018). Turnout and social fractionalisation. *Politics*, 38(2), 113–132. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263395716686598>

Leaders' debate, 2002. (n.d.). <https://teara.govt.nz/en/video/35758/leaders-debate-2002>

LeDuc, L., Niemi, R. G., & Norris, P. (2010). *Comparing democracies 3: elections and voting in the 21st century* ([3rd ed.]). SAGE.

LeDuc, L., Niemi, R. G., & Norris, P. (Eds.). (2014). *Comparing democracies 4: elections and voting in a changing world*. SAGE.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=5164463&ppg=113>

Llaudet, E. (2018). The mobilizing and demobilizing effects of political TV ads: A midterm election study. *Electoral Studies*, 54, 226–236.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2018.06.002>

Lockhart, M. & Taylor & Francis. (2018). *President Donald Trump and his political discourse: ramifications of rhetoric via Twitter*. Routledge.

<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781351038782>

Lupia, Arthur. (n.d.). Shortcuts Versus Encyclopedias: Information and Voting Behavior in California Insurance Reform Elections. *The American Political Science Review* (1927); Washington, D.C., Etc., 88(1).

http://search.proquest.com/docview/1304796566?rfr_id=info:xri/sid:primo

Manza, J., & Brooks, C. (1998). The Gender Gap in U.S. Presidential Elections: When? Why? Implications? *American Journal of Sociology*, 103(5), 1235–1266.

<https://doi.org/10.1086/231352>

- Mass Media Effects: Mobilization or Media Malaise? - 194239.pdf. (n.d.).
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/194239.pdf?refreqid=excelsior%3A87eb49501b7ed74ad4b75057771d64be>
- McCombs, M. E. & Ebooks Corporation. (2014). *Setting the agenda: the mass media and public opinion* (Second edition). Polity Press.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/detail.action?docID=1631739>
- Media Malaise and the Decline of Legitimacy: Any Room for Good News? - Oxford Scholarship - oso-9780198793717-chapter-6. (n.d.).
<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/oso/9780198793717.001.0001/oso-9780198793717-chapter-6?print=pdf>
- Mellon, J., Evans, G., Fieldhouse, E. A., Green, J., & Prosser, C. mname. (2018). *Opening the Can of Worms: Most Existing Studies of Aggregate Level Turnout are Meaningless*. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3098436>
- Milazzo, Moser, Scheiner: Social Diversity Affects the Number of Parties: October 2015. (n.d.).
<http://cpd.berkeley.edu/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/Milazzo-Moser-Scheiner-Social-Diversity-Affects-the-Nbr-of-Ptys-for-UCB-October-2015-1.pdf>
- MONDAK, J. J., HIBBING, M. V., CANACHE, D., SELIGSON, M. A., & ANDERSON, M. R. (2010). *Personality and Civic Engagement: An Integrative Framework for the Study of Trait Effects on Political Behavior*. *American Political Science Review*, 104(01), 85–110.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055409990359>
- Mutz, D. C. (2018). *Status threat, not economic hardship, explains the 2016 presidential vote*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(19), E4330–E4339.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1718155115>
- Norpoth, H., Sidman, A. H., & Suong, C. H. (2013). *The New Deal Realignment in Real Time*. *Presidential Studies Quarterly*, 43(1), 146–166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psq.12007>
- Partisan Bias in Message Selection: Media Gatekeeping of Party Press Releases - 10584609.2016.1265619. (n.d.).
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/10584609.2016.1265619?needAccess=true>
- Partisan cues and the media: Information flows in the 1992 presidential election. (n.d.). 92, 111–126.
<https://search.proquest.com/docview/214402856/fulltextPDF/661167E4770E43DEPQ/1?accountid=14782>
- Peng, Y. (2018). *Same Candidates, Different Faces: Uncovering Media Bias in Visual Portrayals of Presidential Candidates with Computer Vision*. *Journal of Communication*, 68(5), 920–941. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqy041>
- Powell, G. B. (2000). *Elections as instruments of democracy: majoritarian and proportional visions*. Yale University Press. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt32bwg8>
- Race, Ethnicity, and Political Participation: Competing Models and Contrasting

Explanations: Jan E. Leighley, and Arnold Vedlitz, 1999. (n.d.).
<http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.2307/2647555>

Report of the Inquiry into the 2015 British general election opinion polls. (n.d.).
http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/390588/1/Report_final_revised.pdf

Representation: Edmund Burke, Speech to the Electors of Bristol. (n.d.).
<http://press-pubs.uchicago.edu/founders/documents/v1ch13s7.html>

Richard Johnston, Michael G. Hagen, and Kathleen Hall Jamieson. (2004). *The 2000 Presidential Election and the Foundations of Party Politics*. Cambridge University Press.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/detail.action?docID=266540>

Schumpeter, J. A., & Stiglitz, J. E. (2010). *Capitalism, socialism and democracy*. Routledge.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203857090>

Shaker, L. (2014). Dead Newspapers and Citizens' Civic Engagement. *Political Communication*, 31(1), 131–148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2012.762817>

Sides, J., Tesler, M., & Vavreck, L. (2017). How Trump Lost and Won. *Journal of Democracy*, 28(2), 34–44. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2017.0022>

Skibba, R. (2016). Pollsters struggle to explain failures of US presidential forecasts. *Nature*, 539(7629), 339–339. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature.2016.20968>

SpringerLink (Online service). (2017). *Political Communication in Britain: Polling, Campaigning and Media in the 2015 General Election* (D. Wring, R. Mortimore, & S. Atkinson, Eds.). Springer International Publishing.
<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-319-40934-4>

'Superior to anything I had seen in the States': the 'Thatcherisation' of R... (2013). *Journal of Transatlantic Studies* (Springer Nature).
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=aph&AN=99106528&site=ehost-live>

Technology Firms Shape Political Communication: The Work of Microsoft, Facebook, Twitter, and Google With Campaigns During the 2016 U.S. Presidential Cycle - 10584609.2017.1364814. (n.d.).
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/10584609.2017.1364814?needAccess=true>

Television vs. YouTube: political advertising in the 2012 presidential election - 19331681.2018.1476280. (n.d.).
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/19331681.2018.1476280?needAccess=true>

Tenscher, J., Mykkänen, J., & Moring, T. (2012). Modes of Professional Campaigning. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 17(2), 145–168.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161211433839>

The Freedom to Publish Opinion Poll Results, 2012. (n.d.).
https://wapor.org/wp-content/uploads/WAPOR_FTP_2012.pdf

- The Global Economy, Competency, and the Economic Vote. (2010). *Journal of Politics*.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bah&AN=47394180&site=ehost-live>
- The Irrational Electorate. By: Bartels, Larry M., *Wilson Quarterly*, 03633276, Autumn2008, Vol. 32, Issue 4. (n.d.).
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=31h&AN=34718834&site=ehost-live>
- The Politics of Advanced Capitalism edited by Pablo Beramendi. (n.d.).
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/the-politics-of-advanced-capitalism/7DDFD56C784EEB3C284098D05BACF104>
- The Power of Television Images: The First Kennedy-Nixon Debate Revisited - 1468-2508.t01-1-00015.pdf. (n.d.).
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/10.1111/1468-2508.t01-1-00015.pdf?refreqid=excelsior:7459572f260b24fbc49803b88c24b8a3>
- The Routledge Handbook of Elections, Voting Behavior and Public Opinion (1st ed.). (2017). Routledge. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/VUW/detail.action?docID=5207628>
- The UK's changing party system: The prospects for a party realignment at Westminster. (n.d.). <https://www.thebritishacademy.ac.uk/sites/default/files/David%20Sanders.pdf>
- The West Australian 16 Oct 2019. (16 C.E.).
<https://www.pressreader.com/australia/the-west-australian/20191016/283089890915773/extview>
- van der Meer, T. W. G., Hakhverdian, A., & Aaldering, L. (2016). Off the Fence, Onto the Bandwagon? A Large-Scale Survey Experiment on Effect of Real-Life Poll Outcomes on Subsequent Vote Intentions. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 28(1), 46–72.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edu041>
- van der Waal, J., Achterberg, P., & Houtman, D. (2007). Class Is Not Dead—It Has Been Buried Alive: Class Voting and Cultural Voting in Postwar Western Societies (1956–1990). *Politics & Society*, 35(3), 403–426. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329207304314>
- Vliementhart, R. (2012). The Professionalization of Political Communication? A Longitudinal Analysis of Dutch Election Campaign Posters. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 56(2), 135–150. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764211419488>
- Vowles, J. (2002). Did the Polls Influence the Vote? a Case Study of the 1999 New Zealand General Election. *Political Science*, 54(1), 67–77.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/003231870205400106>
- Vowles, J. (2004). *Voters' veto: the 2002 election in New Zealand and the consolidation of minority government*. Auckland University Press.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/detail.action?docID=1563885>
- Vowles, J., Coffé, H., & Curtin, J. (2017a). *A Bark But No Bite: Inequality and the 2014 New Zealand General Election*. ANU Press. <https://doi.org/10.22459/BBNB.08.2017>

Vowles, J., Coffé, H., & Curtin, J. (2017b). *A Bark But No Bite: Inequality and the 2014 New Zealand General Election*. ANU Press. <https://doi.org/10.22459/BBNB.08.2017>

Vowles, J., Coffé, H., & Curtin, J. (2017c). *A Bark But No Bite: Inequality and the 2014 New Zealand General Election*. ANU Press. <https://doi.org/10.22459/BBNB.08.2017>

Vowles, J., Katz, G., & Stevens, D. (2017). Electoral Competitiveness and Turnout in British Elections, 1964–2010. *Political Science Research and Methods*, 5(04), 775–794. <https://doi.org/10.1017/psrm.2015.67>

Why It Is Hard to Find Genes Associated With Social Science Traits: Theoret... (2013). *American Journal of Public Health*. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ccm&AN=104222568&site=ehost-live>

Winneg, K., & Jamieson, K. H. (2017). Learning From the 2016 U.S. General Election Presidential Debates. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 61(4), 362–378. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764217702770>

Wright, Fred Andrew. (n.d.). How Surprising Was Trump's Victory? Notes on Predictions in the 2016 U.S. Presidential Election. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers2.cfm?abstract_id=2900394

Xifra, J. (2011). Americanization, Globalization, or Modernization of Electoral Campaigns? Testing the Situation in Spain. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 55(6), 667–682. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764211398086>

Zaller, J., & Feldman, S. (1992). A Simple Theory of the Survey Response: Answering Questions versus Revealing Preferences. *American Journal of Political Science*, 36(3). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2111583>

Zaller, J. R. (1998). Monica Lewinsky's Contribution to Political Science. *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 31(2). <https://doi.org/10.2307/420248>