

# PHIL 201 2019

Knowledge and Reality

View Online



---

Adam Elga. 'Reflection and Disagreement'. *Noûs*, vol. 41, no. 3, 2007,  
[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4494542?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4494542?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents).

Can Wikipedia Ever Make the Grade.  
[https://www.nku.edu/~ocallaghant/courses/601/wikipedia\\_art3.pdf](https://www.nku.edu/~ocallaghant/courses/601/wikipedia_art3.pdf).

'Chapter 2: Brains in a Vat, Hilary Putnam'. *Skepticism*, Oxford University Press, 1999.

Coady, David and Ebooks Corporation. 'Chapter 1 of What to Believe Now (Introduction)'. *What to Believe Now: Applying Epistemology to Contemporary Issues*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2012, <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/detail.action?docID=844010>.

---. 'Chapter 2 of What to Believe Now: Applying Epistemology to Contemporary Issues (Experts and the Laity)'. *What to Believe Now: Applying Epistemology to Contemporary Issues*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2012,  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/detail.action?docID=844010>.

---. 'Chapter 5 of What to Believe Now: Applying Epistemology to Contemporary Issues (Conspiracy Theories and Conspiracy Theorists)'. *What to Believe Now: Applying Epistemology to Contemporary Issues*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2012,  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/detail.action?docID=844010>.

---. 'Chapter of 6 What to Believe Now: Applying Epistemology to Contemporary Issues (The Blogosphere and the Conventional Media)'. *What to Believe Now: Applying Epistemology to Contemporary Issues*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2012,  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/detail.action?docID=844010>.

Dare, Tim. 'Disagreement Over Vaccination Programmes: Deep Or Merely Complex and Why Does It Matter?' *HEC Forum*, vol. 26, no. 1, Mar. 2014, pp. 43-57,  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10730-013-9227-z>.

Feinberg, Joel, and Russ Shafer-Landau. 'Chisholm, "The Problem of the Criterion" (Pages 168-173)'. *Reason and Responsibility: Readings in Some Basic Problems of Philosophy*, Fifteenth edition, Wadsworth, 2013.

Fred Feldman. '13. Epistemological Puzzles about Disagreement'. *Epistemology Futures*, Oxford University Press, Incorporated, 2006,  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=422899&ppg=227>.  
Herbert A. Simon, Patrick W. Langley and Gary L. Bradshaw. 'Scientific Discovery as Problem Solving'. *Synthese*, vol. 47, no. 1, 1981,  
[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20115615?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20115615?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents).

Hetherington, Stephen Cade. '14. Externalism'. *Knowledge Puzzles: An Introduction to Epistemology*, Westview Press, 1996.

---. '15. Internalism'. *Knowledge Puzzles: An Introduction to Epistemology*, Westview Press, 1996.

Kihyeon Kim. 'Internalism and Externalism in Epistemology'. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, vol. 30, no. 4, 1993,  
[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20014469?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20014469?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents).

Meheus, J., and Thomas Nickles. 'Deductive and Ampliative Adaptive Logics as Tools in the Study of Creativity'. *Foundations of Science*, vol. 4, no. 3, 1999, pp. 231-35,  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009663506333>.

Moore, G. E. *Philosophical Papers*. Allen & Unwin, 1959.

Naturalism in Epistemology. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/epistemology-naturalized/>.  
 Olsson, Erik J. 'The Value of Knowledge'. *Philosophy Compass*, vol. 6, no. 12, Dec. 2011, pp. 874-83, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-9991.2011.00425.x>.

Peter D. Klein. 'Human Knowledge and the Infinite Regress of Reasons'. *Philosophical Perspectives*, vol. 13, 1999,  
[https://www.jstor.org/stable/2676107?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2676107?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents).

Plato. 'The Meno'. *Meno*, Infomotions, Inc., 2000,  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=3314610&ppg=1#>.  
 Pritchard, Duncan. 'Epistemic Externalism and Internalism'. *Epistemology*, Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2016, pp. 77-91, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-52692-2\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-52692-2_5).

Robert Audi. '7 Testimony: The Social Foundation of Knowledge'. *Epistemology: A Contemporary Introduction to the Theory of Knowledge*, Routledge, 2010,  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=574520&ppg=36>.

SCHICKORE, JUTTA., and FRIEDRICH. STEINLE, editors. 'Chapter: Context of Discovery vs Context of Justification'. *Revisiting Discovery and Justification: Historical and Philosophical Perspectives on the Context Distinction*, vol. 14, Springer Netherlands, 2006,  
<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F1-4020-4251-5>.

Science and Pseudo-Science. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/pseudo-science/>.

Scientific Method. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/scientific-method/>.

Stephen John. 'EXPERT TESTIMONY AND EPISTEMOLOGICAL FREE-RIDING: THE MMR CONTROVERSY'. *The Philosophical Quarterly* (1950-), vol. 61, no. 244, 2011,  
[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23012979?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23012979?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents).

Steven M. Cahn, et al. 'Edmund Gettier, "Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?"', Chapter of *Knowledge and Reality* (Pages 5-7). *Knowledge and Reality*, Prentice Hall.

Susan Haack. '(Chapter 1) Foundationalism versus Coherentism: A Dichotomy Disclaimed'. *Evidence and Inquiry: Towards Reconstruction in Epistemology*, Blackwell, 1993.

---. '(Chapter 1) Foundationalism versus Coherentism: A Dichotomy Disclaimed'. *Evidence and Inquiry: Towards Reconstruction in Epistemology*, Blackwell, 1993.

Zagzebski, Linda. 'The Inescapability of Gettier Problems'. *The Philosophical Quarterly*, vol. 44, no. 174, Jan. 1994, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2220147>.