

# LING 321

Discourse and Meaning

View Online



[1]

M. Marra, 'Discourse Analysis and Conversation Analysis', in *The Encyclopedia of Applied Linguistics*, C. A. Chapelle, Ed. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 2012. doi: 10.1002/9781405198431.wbeal0320.

[2]

J. Thomas, 'Conversational implicature', in *Meaning in interaction: an introduction to pragmatics*, vol. Learning about language, London: Longman, 1995, pp. 55–86. [Online]. Available: [https://tewaharoa.victoria.ac.nz/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma99179291086402386&context=L&vid=64VUW\\_INST:VUWNUI&search\\_scope=MyInst\\_and\\_CI&isFrbr=true&tab=all&lang=en](https://tewaharoa.victoria.ac.nz/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma99179291086402386&context=L&vid=64VUW_INST:VUWNUI&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&isFrbr=true&tab=all&lang=en)

[3]

J. R. Searle, 'Expressions, meaning and speech acts', in *Speech acts: an essay in the philosophy of language*, London: Cambridge U.P., 1969, pp. 22–53.

[4]

Morrison, Anthea ; Holmes, Janet, 'Eliciting Refusals: A Methodological Challenge', *Te Reo*, vol. 46, pp. 47–66, 2003, [Online]. Available: <http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=0&sid=32462228-300b-411c-9a88-f44c38cebf33%40sdc-v-sessmgr03>

[5]

Elinor, Ochs, 'Transcription as theory', in *Developmental pragmatics*, New York: Academic Press, 1979, pp. 43–72.

[6]

H. Sacks, E. A. Schegloff, and G. Jefferson, 'A Simplest Systematics for the Organization of Turn-Taking for Conversation', *Language*, vol. 50, no. 4, Dec. 1974, doi: 10.2307/412243.

[7]

Heritage, John & Clayman, Steven, 'Emergency calls as institutional talk', in *Talk in Action*, John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated, 2010, pp. 53-68. [Online]. Available: <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=487721&ppg=1>

[8]

D. Schiffrin, 'Jefferson conventions', in *Approaches to discourse*, vol. Blackwell textbooks in linguistics, Oxford, UK: B. Blackwell, 1994, pp. 424-431.

[9]

C. Gordon, 'Gumperz and interactional sociolinguistics', in *The SAGE handbook of sociolinguistics*, Los Angeles: SAGE, 2011, pp. 67-84.

[10]

J. Gumperz, 'Contextualization conventions', in *The new sociolinguistics reader*, Basingstoke [England]: Palgrave Macmillan, 2009, pp. 598-606.

[11]

C. Roberts and S. Campbell, 'Talk on trial: Job interviews, language and ethnicity', 2006. <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130128102031/http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/asd5/summ2005-2006/344summ.pdf>

[12]

'Critical Discourse Analysis (Chapter 2) - Discourse', [Online]. Available: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=225390&ppg=37>

[13]

T. van Dijk, 'Discourse, power and access', in *Texts and Practices*, Taylor and Francis, 2013, pp. 84–104. [Online]. Available: <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=166829&ppg=94>

[14]

M. Bucholtz and K. Hall, 'Identity and interaction: a sociocultural linguistic approach', *Discourse Studies*, vol. 7, no. 4–5, pp. 585–614, Oct. 2005, doi: 10.1177/1461445605054407.

[15]

P. Eckert, 'Variation and the indexical field', *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 453–476, Sep. 2008, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9841.2008.00374.x.

[16]

L. Jones, "'Dolls or teddies?': Constructing lesbian identity through community-specific practice', *Journal of Language and Sexuality*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 161–190, 2014, doi: 10.1075/jls.3.2.01jon.

[17]

B. W. King, 'Tracing the emergence of a community of practice: Beyond presupposition in sociolinguistic research', *Language in Society*, vol. 43, no. 01, pp. 61–81, Feb. 2014, doi: 10.1017/S0047404513000870.

[18]

Janet Holmes and M. Stubbe, "'Feminine" workplaces: Stereotype and relativity', in *The Handbook of Language and Gender*, J. H. Miriam Meyerhoff, Ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated, 2003. [Online]. Available: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=214142&ppg=591>

[19]

Stubbe, Maria, Chris Lane, Jo Hilder, Elaine Vine, Bernadette Vine, Meredith Marra, Janet Holmes and Ann Weatherall, 'Multiple discourse analyses of a workplace interaction', *Discourse Studies*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 351–388, 2003, [Online]. Available: [http://www.jstor.org/stable/24048070?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/24048070?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

[20]

D. Cameron, 'Theoretical issues for the study of gender and spoken interaction', in *Gender and spoken interaction*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2009, pp. 1–17. [Online]. Available: [https://tewaharoa.victoria.ac.nz/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9912314004002386&context=L&vid=64VUW\\_INST:VUWNUI&search\\_scope=MyInst\\_and\\_CI&tab=all&lang=en](https://tewaharoa.victoria.ac.nz/discovery/fulldisplay?docid=alma9912314004002386&context=L&vid=64VUW_INST:VUWNUI&search_scope=MyInst_and_CI&tab=all&lang=en)

[21]

P. Brown and S. C. Levinson, 'Section', in *Politeness: some universals in language usage*, vol. *Studies in interactional sociolinguistics*, Cambridge [Cambridgeshire]: Cambridge University Press, 1987, pp. 55–84.

[22]

M. A. Locher and R. J. Watts, 'Politeness Theory and Relational Work', *Journal of Politeness Research. Language, Behaviour, Culture*, vol. 1, no. 1, Jan. 2005, doi: 10.1515/jplr.2005.1.1.9.

[23]

J. Holmes and M. Marra, 'The complexities of communication in professional workplaces', in *The Routledge Handbook of Professional Communication*, [Online]. Available: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=707662&site=ehost-live>