

## PHIL 302

Ramon's readings weeks 7-12

View Online



---

[1]

B. Majors, 'Moral Explanation', *Philosophy Compass*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1-15, Jan. 2007, doi: 10.1111/j.1747-9991.2006.00049.x.

[2]

B. Leiter, 'Moral Facts and Best Explanations', in *Naturalizing jurisprudence: essays on American legal realism and naturalism in legal philosophy*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.

[3]

N. Sinclair, 'The Explanationist Argument For Moral Realism', [Online]. Available: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1353/cjp.2011.0005?needAccess=true>

[4]

U. D. Leibowitz, 'Scientific Explanation and Moral Explanation\*', *Noûs*, p. no-no, Nov. 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-0068.2010.00775.x.

[5]

L. ZHONG, 'A UNIFICATIONIST VINDICATION OF MORAL EXPLANATION', *The Philosophical Forum*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 131-146, Jun. 2011, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9191.2011.00383.x.

[6]

Elizabeth Tropman, 'MAKING SENSE OF EXPLANATORY OBJECTIONS TO MORAL REALISM',

American Philosophical Quarterly, vol. 50, no. 1, 2013, [Online]. Available:  
[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23460798?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23460798?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

[7]

D. Roberts, 'Explanatory Indispensability Arguments in Metaethics and Philosophy of Mathematics'.

<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198778592.001.0001/acprof-9780198778592-chapter-10?print=pdf>

[8]

W. FitzPatrick, 'Scientific Naturalism and the Explanation of Moral Beliefs: Challenging Evolutionary Debunking', [Online]. Available:

<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/9781118657775.ch27>

[9]

K. Vavova, 'Evolutionary Debunking of Moral Realism', *Philosophy Compass*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 104–116, Feb. 2015, doi: 10.1111/phc3.12194.

[10]

S. Street, 'A Darwinian Dilemma for Realist Theories of Value', *Philosophical Studies*, vol. 127, no. 1, pp. 109–166, Jan. 2006, doi: 10.1007/s11098-005-1726-6.

[11]

Richard Joyce, *The Evolution of Morality*. MIT Press, 2005. [Online]. Available:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=3338603&ppg=192>

[12]

R. Das, 'Evolutionary debunking of morality: epistemological or metaphysical?', *Philosophical Studies*, vol. 173, no. 2, pp. 417–435, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1007/s11098-015-0499-9.

[13]

J. MORTON, 'A New Evolutionary Debunking Argument Against Moral Realism', *Journal of the American Philosophical Association*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 233–253, 2016, doi: 10.1017/apa.2016.14.

[14]

J. Hopster, 'Evolutionary arguments against moral realism: Why the empirical details matter (and which ones do)', *Biology & Philosophy*, vol. 33, no. 5–6, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10539-018-9652-0.

[15]

W. J. FitzPatrick, 'Debunking evolutionary debunking of ethical realism', *Philosophical Studies*, vol. 172, no. 4, pp. 883–904, Apr. 2015, doi: 10.1007/s11098-014-0295-y.

[16]

J. Olson, 'What can debunking do for us (sceptics and nihilists)?', *Ratio*, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1111/rati.12229.

[17]

T. Cuneo, *The normative web: an argument for moral realism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.

[18]

J. Olson, *Moral error theory: history, critique, defence*, First edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014.

[19]

R. Rowland, 'Moral Error Theory and the Argument from Epistemic Reasons', *Journal of Ethics and Social Philosophy*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–25, Jun. 2017, doi: 10.26556/jesp.v7i1.69.

[20]

R. Joyce, 'Moral and Epistemic Normativity: The Guilty and the Innocent'. [Online]. Available: [http://personal.victoria.ac.nz/richard\\_joyce/acrobat/joyce\\_2019\\_moral.and.epistemic.normativity.pdf](http://personal.victoria.ac.nz/richard_joyce/acrobat/joyce_2019_moral.and.epistemic.normativity.pdf)

[21]

C. Cowie, 'Good News for Moral Error Theorists: A Master Argument Against Companions in Guilt Strategies', *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 115–130, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1080/00048402.2015.1026269.

[22]

R. Das, 'Bad News for Moral Error Theorists: There Is No Master Argument Against Companions in Guilt Strategies', *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 58–69, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1080/00048402.2016.1178313.

[23]

P. Clipsham, 'What's Left for the Companions in Guilt Argument?', *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 137–151, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10677-019-09981-x.