

## PHIL 302

Ramon's readings weeks 7-12

View Online



---

Clipsham, Patrick. 2019. 'What's Left for the Companions in Guilt Argument?' *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* 22 (1): 137–51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10677-019-09981-x>.

Cowie, Christopher. 2016. 'Good News for Moral Error Theorists: A Master Argument Against Companions in Guilt Strategies'. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 94 (1): 115–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00048402.2015.1026269>.

Cuneo, Terence. 2007. *The Normative Web: An Argument for Moral Realism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Das, Ramon. 2016. 'Evolutionary Debunking of Morality: Epistemological or Metaphysical?' *Philosophical Studies* 173 (2): 417–35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-015-0499-9>.

———. 2017. 'Bad News for Moral Error Theorists: There Is No Master Argument Against Companions in Guilt Strategies'. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 95 (1): 58–69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00048402.2016.1178313>.

Elizabeth Tropman. 2013. 'MAKING SENSE OF EXPLANATORY OBJECTIONS TO MORAL REALISM'. *American Philosophical Quarterly* 50 (1). [https://www.jstor.org/stable/23460798?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23460798?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents).

FitzPatrick, William. n.d. 'Scientific Naturalism and the Explanation of Moral Beliefs: Challenging Evolutionary Debunking'. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/9781118657775.ch27>.

FitzPatrick, William J. 2015. 'Debunking Evolutionary Debunking of Ethical Realism'. *Philosophical Studies* 172 (4): 883–904. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-014-0295-y>.

Hopster, Jeroen. 2018. 'Evolutionary Arguments against Moral Realism: Why the Empirical Details Matter (and Which Ones Do)'. *Biology & Philosophy* 33 (5–6). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10539-018-9652-0>.

Joyce, Richard. n.d. 'Moral and Epistemic Normativity: The Guilty and the Innocent'. [http://personal.victoria.ac.nz/richard\\_joyce/acrobat/joyce\\_2019\\_moral.and.epistemic.normativity.pdf](http://personal.victoria.ac.nz/richard_joyce/acrobat/joyce_2019_moral.and.epistemic.normativity.pdf).

Leibowitz, Uri D. 2010. 'Scientific Explanation and Moral Explanation\*'. *Noûs*, November, no-no. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0068.2010.00775.x>.

Leiter, Brian. 2007. 'Moral Facts and Best Explanations'. In *Naturalizing Jurisprudence: Essays on American Legal Realism and Naturalism in Legal Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford

University Press.

Majors, Brad. 2007. 'Moral Explanation'. *Philosophy Compass* 2 (1): 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-9991.2006.00049.x>.

MORTON, JUSTIN. 2016. 'A New Evolutionary Debunking Argument Against Moral Realism'. *Journal of the American Philosophical Association* 2 (2): 233–53. <https://doi.org/10.1017/apa.2016.14>.

Olson, Jonas. 2014. *Moral Error Theory: History, Critique, Defence*. First edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019. 'What Can Debunking Do for Us (Sceptics and Nihilists)?' *Ratio*, February. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rati.12229>.

Richard Joyce. 2005. *The Evolution of Morality*. MIT Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=3338603&ppg=192>.

Roberts, Debbie. n.d. 'Explanatory Indispensability Arguments in Metaethics and Philosophy of Mathematics'. <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198778592.001.0001/acprof-9780198778592-chapter-10?print=pdf>.

Rowland, Richard. 2017. 'Moral Error Theory and the Argument from Epistemic Reasons'. *Journal of Ethics and Social Philosophy* 7 (1): 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.26556/jesp.v7i1.69>.

Sinclair, Neil. n.d. 'The Explanationist Argument For Moral Realism'. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1353/cjp.2011.0005?needAccess=true>.

Street, Sharon. 2006. 'A Darwinian Dilemma for Realist Theories of Value'. *Philosophical Studies* 127 (1): 109–66. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-005-1726-6>.

Vavova, Katia. 2015. 'Evolutionary Debunking of Moral Realism'. *Philosophy Compass* 10 (2): 104–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/phc3.12194>.

ZHONG, LEI. 2011. 'A UNIFICATIONIST VINDICATION OF MORAL EXPLANATION'. *The Philosophical Forum* 42 (2): 131–46. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9191.2011.00383.x>.