

PHIL 302

Ramon's readings weeks 7-12

View Online



Clipsham, P. (2019). What's Left for the Companions in Guilt Argument? *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, 22(1), 137–151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10677-019-09981-x>

Cowie, C. (2016). Good News for Moral Error Theorists: A Master Argument Against Companions in Guilt Strategies. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 94(1), 115–130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00048402.2015.1026269>

Cuneo, T. (2007). *The normative web: an argument for moral realism*. Oxford University Press.

Das, R. (2016). Evolutionary debunking of morality: epistemological or metaphysical? *Philosophical Studies*, 173(2), 417–435. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-015-0499-9>

Das, R. (2017). Bad News for Moral Error Theorists: There Is No Master Argument Against Companions in Guilt Strategies. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 95(1), 58–69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00048402.2016.1178313>

Elizabeth Tropman. (2013). MAKING SENSE OF EXPLANATORY OBJECTIONS TO MORAL REALISM. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 50(1). https://www.jstor.org/stable/23460798?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

FitzPatrick, W. (n.d.). *Scientific Naturalism and the Explanation of Moral Beliefs: Challenging Evolutionary Debunking*. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/9781118657775.ch27>

FitzPatrick, W. J. (2015). Debunking evolutionary debunking of ethical realism. *Philosophical Studies*, 172(4), 883–904. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-014-0295-y>

Hopster, J. (2018). Evolutionary arguments against moral realism: Why the empirical details matter (and which ones do). *Biology & Philosophy*, 33(5–6). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10539-018-9652-0>

Joyce, R. (n.d.). *Moral and Epistemic Normativity: The Guilty and the Innocent*. http://personal.victoria.ac.nz/richard_joyce/acrobat/joyce_2019_moral.and.epistemic.normativity.pdf

Leibowitz, U. D. (2010). Scientific Explanation and Moral Explanation*. *Noûs*, no-no. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0068.2010.00775.x>

Leiter, B. (2007). *Moral Facts and Best Explanations*. In *Naturalizing jurisprudence: essays on American legal realism and naturalism in legal philosophy*. Oxford University Press.

Majors, B. (2007). Moral Explanation. *Philosophy Compass*, 2(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-9991.2006.00049.x>

MORTON, J. (2016). A New Evolutionary Debunking Argument Against Moral Realism. *Journal of the American Philosophical Association*, 2(2), 233–253. <https://doi.org/10.1017/apa.2016.14>

Olson, J. (2014). *Moral error theory: history, critique, defence* (First edition). Oxford University Press.

Olson, J. (2019). What can debunking do for us (sceptics and nihilists)? *Ratio*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rati.12229>

Richard Joyce. (2005). *The Evolution of Morality*. MIT Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=3338603&ppg=192>

Roberts, D. (n.d.). Explanatory Indispensability Arguments in Metaethics and Philosophy of Mathematics. <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198778592.001.0001/acprof-9780198778592-chapter-10?print=pdf>

Rowland, R. (2017). Moral Error Theory and the Argument from Epistemic Reasons. *Journal of Ethics and Social Philosophy*, 7(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.26556/jesp.v7i1.69>

Sinclair, N. (n.d.). The Explanationist Argument For Moral Realism. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1353/cjp.2011.0005?needAccess=true>

Street, S. (2006). A Darwinian Dilemma for Realist Theories of Value. *Philosophical Studies*, 127(1), 109–166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-005-1726-6>

Vavova, K. (2015). Evolutionary Debunking of Moral Realism. *Philosophy Compass*, 10(2), 104–116. <https://doi.org/10.1111/phc3.12194>

ZHONG, L. (2011). A UNIFICATIONIST VINDICATION OF MORAL EXPLANATION. *The Philosophical Forum*, 42(2), 131–146. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9191.2011.00383.x>