

Laws 396 - Copyright Law

[View Online](#)

Ashdown v Telegraph Group Ltd [2001] 3 WLR 1368; [2001] 4 All ER 668.

[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=2001000142](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=2001000142).

Australian Video Retailers Association v Warner Home Video (2001) 53 IPR 242, FCR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?docguid=I085371329cfd11e0a619d462427863b2&&src=rl&hitguid=Ibfe6ab549c3511e0a619d462427863b2&snippets=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASE_TOC#anchor_Ibfe6ab549c3511e0a619d462427863b2&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Baigent v The Random House Group Ltd [2007] EWCA Civ 247 (28 March 2007).

https://www.lexis.com/research/retrieve?cc=&pushme=1&tmpFBSEL=all&totalDocs=&taggedDocs=&toggleValue=&numDocsChkd=0&prefFBSEL=0&delformat=XCITE&fpDocs=&fpNodeId=&fpCiteReq=&expNewLead=id%3D%22expandedNewLead%22&brand=&_m=d9f2f8a0eeb9a5922d6c41dcb299bf71&docnum=5&fmtstr=FULL&_startdoc=1&wchp=dGLbVzB-zSkAz&_md5=438ae6b8d1978ff2b2bd154e15a8ef3a&focBudTerms=&focBudSel=all.

Bauman v Fussell [1978] RPC 485.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I61567040BF8311DFB5E8F4C543534AF3.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=93f92df2-a67f-4cb6-bbd2-3cb982102366&contextData=\(sc.DocLink\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I61567040BF8311DFB5E8F4C543534AF3.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=93f92df2-a67f-4cb6-bbd2-3cb982102366&contextData=(sc.DocLink)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Bleiman v News Media (Auckland) Ltd [1994] 2 NZLR 673 (CA), [1994] 6 TCLR 56, TCLR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=I519acf229eee11e0a619d462427863b2&epos=1&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_SEARCHALL&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=6&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Bonz Group (Pty) Ltd v Cooke [1994] 3 NZLR 216, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T40-Y970-T3NW&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Brighton v Jones [2004] EWHC 1157 (Ch).

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=2004412923&pubNum=4902&originatingDoc=I7A77F3F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=\(sc.DocLink\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=2004412923&pubNum=4902&originatingDoc=I7A77F3F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=(sc.DocLink)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Brooker v Fisher [2008] EWCA Civ 287.

<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2008/287.html>.

Brown v Mcasso Music Production Ltd [2005] EWCC 1 (Cpwt).

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=2006237221&pubNum=4902&originatingDoc=I7C44EBC0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=\(sc.DocLink\)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=2006237221&pubNum=4902&originatingDoc=I7C44EBC0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=(sc.DocLink)).

Bulun Bulun v R & T Textiles Pty Ltd [1998] 41 IPR 513, FCR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=Id5a47b269cf211e0a619d462427863b2&epos=1&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_SEARCHALL&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=6&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Burge v Swarbrick [2007] HCA 17, [2007] 232 CLR 336, CLR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?docguid=If84006669d6811e0a619d462427863b2&&src=rl&hitguid=Ic027fa399c3511e0a619d462427863b2&snippets=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASE_TOC#anchor_Ic027fa399c3511e0a619d462427863b2&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

CCH Canadian Ltd v Law Society of Upper Canada [2004] SCR 339.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&pubNum=6407&serNum=2004153675&originationContext=document&transitionType=Document&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&pubNum=6407&serNum=2004153675&originationContext=document&transitionType=Document&contextData=(sc.Search)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Coffey v Warner/Chappell Music Ltd [2005] EWHC 449 (Ch).

<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Ch/2005/449.html>.

Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2000] 1 WLR 2416.

[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1991001047](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1991001047).

Elwood Clothing Pty Ltd v Cotton On Clothing Pty Ltd [2008] FCAFC 197 (Fed Court, Full Court), FCR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?src=document&docguid=I691748a32d2d11e5960feb5a5b726e12&epos=2&snippets=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_AU_UNREPORTJG&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&parentinfo=&details=most&originates-from-link-before=false&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

EMI Songs Australia Pty Ltd v Larrikin Music Publishing Pty Ltd [2011] FCAFC 47.

<http://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/emi-songs-australia-pty-ltd-v-larrikin-m-46678/>.

Exxon Corp v Exxon Insurance [1981] 3 All ER 241.

[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1981001433](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1981001433).

Fairfax Media Publications Pty Ltd v Reed International Books Australia Pty Ltd [2010] FCA 984, FCR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=I416f2c759d3411e0a619d462427863b2&epos=1&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_SEARCHALL&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=6&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Feist Publications v Rural Telephone Service (1991) 499 US 340.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I5df39b1a9c9011d9bc61beebb95be672/View/FullText.html?originationContext=typeAhead&transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I5df39b1a9c9011d9bc61beebb95be672/View/FullText.html?originationContext=typeAhead&transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Fisher v Brooker [2006] EWHC 3239 (Ch).

<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Ch/2006/3239.html>.

Francis Day & Hunter Ltd v Bron [1963] Ch 587 [1963] 2 WLR 868.

[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1961001155](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1961001155).

Green v Broadcasting Corporation of NZ [1989] 2 All ER 1056, TCLR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=I44da7f2aa09b11e0a619d462427863b2&epos=1&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_SEARCHALL&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=6&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true.

G-Star Raw CV v Jeanswest Corporation (New Zealand) Limited [2013] NZHC 2679.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5CG9-M331-DYBR-W0N4&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Henkel KGaA v Holdfast New Zealand Ltd [2006] NZSC 102, [2007] 1 NZLR 577 (SC), New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4N9R-22Y0-TWP2-H0GY&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Hyde Park Residence Ltd v Yelland [2001] Ch 143, [2000] 3 WLR 215.

[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1991001848](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1991001848).

Ice TV Pty Limited v Nine Network Australia Pty Ltd [2009] HCA 14, CLR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?docguid=I7e84d1909d6b11e0a619d462427863b2&&src=rl&hitguid=Ia9fbb5b29c3511e0a619d462427863b2&snippets=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASE_TOC#anchor_Ia9fbb5b29c3511e0a619d462427863b2&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Karum Group LLC v Fisher & Paykel Financial Services Ltd [2014] 3 NZLR 421, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5D7V-PMD1-DYBR-Y1WC&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Kienitz v Sconnie Nation LLC, 766 F 3d 756 (7th Cir, 2014).

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I374a38f23ce711e49488c8f438320c70/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad6ad3b00000159f2e353d1be6de65d?Nav=CASE&fragmentIdentifier=I374a38f23ce711e49488c8f438320c70&startIndex=1&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&transitionType=SearchItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=466ddf33109cc0325e06b2ff899ebeda&list=ALL&rank=1&sessionScopelId=003b376bd65f6dd9063b81821a354d66ecabfa5327bd7b63129ba9ae5dfb5c7f&originationContext=Smart](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I374a38f23ce711e49488c8f438320c70/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad6ad3b00000159f2e353d1be6de65d?Nav=CASE&fragmentIdentifier=I374a38f23ce711e49488c8f438320c70&startIndex=1&contextData=(sc.Search)&transitionType=SearchItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=466ddf33109cc0325e06b2ff899ebeda&list=ALL&rank=1&sessionScopelId=003b376bd65f6dd9063b81821a354d66ecabfa5327bd7b63129ba9ae5dfb5c7f&originationContext=Smart)

[Answer&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I374a38f23ce711e49488c8f438320c70/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad6ad3b00000159f2e353d1be6de65d?Nav=CASE&fragmentIdentifier=I374a38f23ce711e49488c8f438320c70&startIndex=1&contextData=(sc.Search)&transitionType=SearchItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=466ddf33109cc0325e06b2ff899ebeda&list=ALL&rank=1&sessionScopelId=003b376bd65f6dd9063b81821a354d66ecabfa5327bd7b63129ba9ae5dfb5c7f&originationContext=Smart&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=(sc.Search)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Kirtsaeng v John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 133 S.Ct. 1351 (2013).

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I71e4e9f5907f11e28500bda794601919/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad6ad3b00000159f2eb8861be6de7fd?Nav=CASE&fragmentIdentifier=I71e4e9f5907f11e28500bda794601919&startIndex=1&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&transitionType=SearchItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=60f31e04742318fb6a8c916dee016624&list=ALL&rank=1&sessionScopelId=003b376bd65f6dd9063b81821a354d66ecabfa5327bd7b63129ba9ae5dfb5c7f&originationContext=SmartAnswer&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I71e4e9f5907f11e28500bda794601919/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad6ad3b00000159f2eb8861be6de7fd?Nav=CASE&fragmentIdentifier=I71e4e9f5907f11e28500bda794601919&startIndex=1&contextData=(sc.Search)&transitionType=SearchItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=60f31e04742318fb6a8c916dee016624&list=ALL&rank=1&sessionScopelId=003b376bd65f6dd9063b81821a354d66ecabfa5327bd7b63129ba9ae5dfb5c7f&originationContext=SmartAnswer&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=(sc.Search)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.)

Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 All ER 465 (HL), [1964] 1 WLR 273.
[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1961002503.](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1961002503.)

Lucasfilm Ltd v Ainsworth [2009] EWCA Civ 1328 AND [2011] UKSC 39.
[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=2006001499.](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=2006001499.)

Massive NV v Lighting Plus Ltd [2003] 1 NZLR 222, New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D10-4FY0-TWP2-H0J9&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true.>

Mayceys Confectionary Ltd v Beckmann [1994] 30 IPR 331.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4BRJ-VVY0-TWGM-T1FH&csi=267693&oc=00240&perma=true.>

Mayceys Confectionary Ltd v Beckmann HC Auckland CP 235/94, 9 June 1994, Intellectual propertyIntellectual property ____.
http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=I38f86f109fb411e0a619d462427863b2&epos=6&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nlds=AUNZ_SEARCHALL&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=7&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

MGM v Grokster 545 US 913 (2005).
[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I3fb03e97e5d511d983e7e9deff98dc6f/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad6ad3b00000159f3117ff6be6dedb8?Nav=CASE&fragmentIdentifier=I3fb03e97e5d511d983e7e9deff98dc6f&startIndex=1&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&transitionType=SearchItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=dc5737fae9f7771c1191a3dc28783e89&list=ALL&rank=1&sessionScopelId=dca2c2e0f425ca109407397424c1103dfe2b1eac2786a0eeb8c7581f699883bf&originationContext=SmartAnswer&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I3fb03e97e5d511d983e7e9deff98dc6f/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad6ad3b00000159f3117ff6be6dedb8?Nav=CASE&fragmentIdentifier=I3fb03e97e5d511d983e7e9deff98dc6f&startIndex=1&contextData=(sc.Search)&transitionType=SearchItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=dc5737fae9f7771c1191a3dc28783e89&list=ALL&rank=1&sessionScopelId=dca2c2e0f425ca109407397424c1103dfe2b1eac2786a0eeb8c7581f699883bf&originationContext=SmartAnswer&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=(sc.Search)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.)

Mitre 10 (New Zealand) Ltd v Benchmark Building Supplies Ltd [2004] 1 NZLR 26, New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D10-4G20-TWP2-H028&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true.>

National Rugby League Investments v Singtel Optus [2012] 95 IPR 32, FCR ____.
<http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?src=document&docguid=I12550bd230dc11e5960feb5a5b726e12&epos=1&snippets=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=s>

td-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_AU_FEDCRTRP&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&parentinfo=&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Pacific Software Technology Ltd v Perry Group Ltd [2004] 1 NZLR 164, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D10-4FY0-TWP2-H1F0&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Perez v Fernandez [2012] 260 FLR 1, FLR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=I471f6761da1e11e19fb3e791f30891e8&epos=5&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_SEARCHALL&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=6&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Phonographic Performances (N.Z) Ltd v Radioworks Ltd [2010] NZCOP 1, Intellectual property ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=If3c7504268f811e28e86d4295b0ab413&epos=19&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_SEARCHALL&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=36&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Plix Products Ltd v Frank M Winstone (Merchants) Ltd [1984] 1 TCLR 176, [1984] 3 IPR 390, TCLR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=I3e316a8b9f7911e0a619d462427863b2&epos=5&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_SEARCHALL&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=6&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Pro Sieben Media AG v Carlton UK Television Ltd [1999] 1 WLR 605.

[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1991003398](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1991003398).

Radford v Hallensteins Bros Ltd [2009] DCR 907.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X2K-Y9V0-Y970-K17S&csi=274514&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Roadshow Films Pty Ltd v iiNet [2012] HCA 16, CLR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?docguid=I090bca528aef11e18eefa443f89988a0&&src=rl&hitguid=lecc5a56b8a9d11e18eefa443f89988a0&snippets=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASE_TOC#anchor_lecc5a56b8a9d11e18eefa443f89988a0&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Rogers Communications Inc. v Society of Composers, Authors and Music Publishers of Canada [2012] SCC 35. <http://www.lexisnexis.com/ap/auth/default.asp?customer=vuw>.

Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 565, [2005] 1 WLR 3281.

[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=2001002806](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=2001002806).

Skids Programme Management Ltd v McNeill [2013] 1 NZLR 1, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=578C-C5N1-DYBR-Y094>

&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true.

SOCAN v Bell Canada [2012] SCC 36.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/lc4a45cadcc8f736be0440021280d79ee/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad7403600000159f831340ca8732025?Nav=MULTIPLECITATIONS&fragmentIdentifier=lc4a45cadcc8f736be0440021280d79ee&startIndex=1&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&transitionType=UniqueDocItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=f75caba516c5a68abe8d8875ae956ae7&list=MULTIPLECITATIONS&rank=0&sessionScopelId=eca004b1106d2c33278634b18312c2ad8aa63572a1fed06e99a31ddf30cfcc37&originationContext=NonUniqueFindSelected&transitionType=UniqueDocItem&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/lc4a45cadcc8f736be0440021280d79ee/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad7403600000159f831340ca8732025?Nav=MULTIPLECITATIONS&fragmentIdentifier=lc4a45cadcc8f736be0440021280d79ee&startIndex=1&contextData=(sc.Search)&transitionType=UniqueDocItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=f75caba516c5a68abe8d8875ae956ae7&list=MULTIPLECITATIONS&rank=0&sessionScopelId=eca004b1106d2c33278634b18312c2ad8aa63572a1fed06e99a31ddf30cfcc37&originationContext=NonUniqueFindSelected&transitionType=UniqueDocItem&contextData=(sc.Search)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Steelbro NZ Ltd v Tidd Ross Todd Ltd [2007] NZCA 486.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5CJN-N961-DYBT-W2X8&csi=274472&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Sunlec International v Electropar Ltd [2008] 79 IPR 411.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7VHS-PPR0-Y91K-34JK&csi=267693&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Television New Zealand Ltd v Newsmonitor Services Ltd [1994] 2 NZLR 91, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D10-4G20-TWP2-H08J&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Tiny Intelligence Ltd v Resport Ltd [2009] 2 NZLR 581, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T30-Y970-T3YV&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Video Ezy International (NZ) Ltd v Roadshow Entertainment (NZ) Ltd [2002] 1 NZLR 855, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T60-Y970-T1DK&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539.

[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1901000716](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1901000716).

Wham-O MFG Co v Lincoln Industries [1984] 1 NZLR 641, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D10-4G70-TWP2-H0FR&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.