

Laws 392 - Special Topic: Comparative Corporate Governance

[View Online](#)

Aberdeen Railway v Blaikie (1854) Macq HL 461 (n.d.).

Aronson v Lewis, 473 A.2d 805 (Del. 1984) (n.d.).

Bebchuk, L, and J Fried. 'Executive Compensation as an Agency Problem'. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 3 (2003).

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eoh&AN=0671591&site=ehost-live>.

Bebchuk, L, J Fried, and D Walker. "Managerial Power and Rent Extraction in the Design of Executive Compensation". *U Chicago L R* 69 (2002): 751-93.

<http://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=5183&context=uclrev>.

Boardman v Phipps [1967] 2 AC 46 (HL) (n.d.).

Bratton, WW, and M L Wachter. 'The Case against Shareholder Empowerment'. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* 158 (2010).

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=49222725&site=ehost-live>.

Broz and RFB Cellular Inc v Cellular Information Systems 673 A 2d 148 (Del. 1996) (n.d.).

Cheffins, B, and R Thomas. 'Should Shareholders Have a Greater Say over Executive Pay? Learning from the U.S. Experience'. *Journal of Corporate Law Studies*, 2001.

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/14735970.2001.11419863?needAccess=true>.

Cheffins, Brian R. *Company Law: Theory, Structure, and Operation*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1996.

Coffee, John C. 'Regulating the Market for Corporate Control: A Critical Assessment of the Tender Offer's Role in Corporate Governance'. *Columbia Law Review* 84, no. 5 (June 1984). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1122351>.

Companies Act 2006 (UK) ss 168, 303-304 and part 28 (n.d.).

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/pdfs/ukpga_20060046_en.pdf.

Companies Act 2006 (UK) ss 170, 175, 177-180, 182-185 and 190 (n.d.).

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/pdfs/ukpga_20060046_en.pdf.

Companies Act 2006 (UK) ss 170-173 (n.d.).
http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/pdfs/ukpga_20060046_en.pdf.

Companies Act 2006 (UK), ss 174, 232, 1157 (n.d.).
http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/pdfs/ukpga_20060046_en.pdf.

Companies Act 2006 (UK) ss 188, 215, 217, 412, 420-422 and 439-440 (n.d.).
http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/pdfs/ukpga_20060046_en.pdf.

Companies Act 2006 (UK) ss 232-234, 239, 260-264 (n.d.).
http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/pdfs/ukpga_20060046_en.pdf.

Companies Act 2006 (UK) ss 994-996 (n.d.).
http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/46/pdfs/ukpga_20060046_en.pdf.

Delaware General Corporation Law, s 102(b)(7) (n.d.).
<http://delcode.delaware.gov/title8/c001/sc01/index.shtml>.

Delaware General Corporation Law, s 141(a) (n.d.).
<http://delcode.delaware.gov/title8/c001/sc04/index.shtml>.

DIRECTIVE 2004/25/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 21 April 2004 on Takeover Bids, articles 5 and 9, Official Journal of the European Union § (n.d.).
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32004L0025&from=EN>.

D'Jan of London Ltd [1993] BCC 646, [1994] 1 BCLC 561 (Chancery D, Companies Court) (n.d.).

Easterbrook, Frank H., and Daniel R. Fischel. *The Economic Structure of Corporate Law*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 1991.

Ebrahimi v Westbourne Galleries Ltd [1971] AC 360 (HL) (n.d.).

'Edwards v Halliwell [1950] 2 All ER 1064 (CA)', n.d.

Foss v Harbottle (1843) 2 Hare 462 (n.d.).

Gerner-Beuerle, Carsten, David Kershaw, and Matteo Alfredo Solinas. 'Is the Board Neutrality Rule Trivial? Amnesia About Corporate Law in European Takeover Regulation'. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1799291>.

Gilson, Ronald J., and Reinier H. Kraakman. 'The Mechanisms of Market Efficiency'. Virginia Law Review 70, no. 4 (May 1984). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1073080>.

Guth v Loft Inc 23 Del.Ch. 255, 5 A 2d 503 (Del. 1939) (n.d.).

Hogg v Cramphorn [1967] Ch 254 (n.d.).

Howard Smith Ltd v Ampol Petroleum [1974] AC 82 (HL) (n.d.).

In re Walt Disney Co. Derivative Litigation 907 A 2d 693 (Del Ch 2005) (n.d.).

Insolvency Act 1986, s 122(g) (n.d.).
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1986/45/section/122>.

Insolvency Act 1986, s 214 (n.d.).
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1986/45/section/214>.

Kershaw, D. 'Lost in Translation: Corporate Opportunities in Comparative Perspective.' Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 25 (2005).
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=19525093&site=ehost-live>.

Kraakman, Reinier H. *The Anatomy of Corporate Law: A Comparative and Functional Approach*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.

La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes, and Andrei Shleifer. 'The Economic Consequences of Legal Origins'. *Journal of Economic Literature* 46 (2008).
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eoh&AN=0982975&site=ehost-live>.

Lucian Arye Bebchuk. 'The Case for Increasing Shareholder Power'. *Harvard Law Review* 118, no. 3 (2005): 833–914.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/4093350?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents.

New York Business Corporation Law, s 717(b) (n.d.).
<http://codes.findlaw.com/ny/business-corporation-law/bsc-sect-717.html>.

O'Neill v Phillips [1999] 1 WLR 1092 (HL) (n.d.).

Parkinson, J. E. *Corporate Power and Responsibility Issues in the Theory of Company Law*. Oxford University Press, 1995.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198259893.001.0001>.

PART 19 - PARTIES AND GROUP LITIGATION - Civil Procedure Rules, r 19.1 (n.d.).
<https://www.justice.gov/courts/procedure-rules/civil/rules/part19#19.9>.

Re Barings plc (No. 5) [1999] 1 BCLC 433 (Sections III A and B only) (n.d.).

Regal (Hastings) Ltd v Gulliver [1967] 2 AC 134 (HL) (n.d.).

Regentcrest Plc (In Liquidation) v Cohen [2001] BCC 494 (Ch D) (n.d.).

Ronald J. Gilson. 'Controlling Shareholders and Corporate Governance: Complicating the Comparative Taxonomy'. *Harvard Law Review* 119, no. 6 (2006): 1641–79.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/4093529?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents.

Salomon v Salomon [1897] AC 22 (HL) (n.d.).

Schuster, E. 'The Mandatory Bid Rule: Efficient, After All?' *Modern Law Review* 76 (2013).
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=a2h&AN=87371919&site=ehost-live>.

ive.

Shlensky v. Wrigley 237 N.E.2d 776 (Ill. App. 1968) (n.d.).

Smith v Van Gorkom 488 A 2d 858 (Del 1985) (n.d.).

Takeover Panel City Code on Takeovers and Mergers, general principle 3 and rules 9 and 21. (n.d.).

Zapata v Maldonado, 430 A.2d 779 (Del. 1981) (n.d.).