

Laws 301 - Property Law

[View Online](#)

Aicken & Son v Bradley [1916] NZLR 659 (SC), New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7XPS-2JK0-Y970-T014&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Apple Fields Ltd v Damesh Holdings Ltd [2001] 2 NZLR 586 (CA), New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5N33-YMG1-DYBR-Y056&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Armory v Delamirie (1722) 1 Stra 505 (KB). n.d.
[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=1753068666&pubNum=4930&originatingDoc=I8FC9E02051FD11DC8D33E7B51BBD161E&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=\(sc.DocLink\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=1753068666&pubNum=4930&originatingDoc=I8FC9E02051FD11DC8D33E7B51BBD161E&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=(sc.DocLink)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Ashby v Tolhurst [1937] 2 KB 242 (CA). <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

'Asher v Whitlock (1865) LR 1 QB 1'. Law Journal Reports. New Series (London), [s.n.], 1832.

Assets v Mere Roihi [1905] AC 176 (PC).
[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I2763E760111F11DD9603E7F6FBD67DC6.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=25f4714e-c94d-497e-b441-8a9d80502b82&contextData=\(sc.Search\)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I2763E760111F11DD9603E7F6FBD67DC6.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=25f4714e-c94d-497e-b441-8a9d80502b82&contextData=(sc.Search)).

Attorney-General v Ngati Apa [2003] 3 NZLR 643 (CA), New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7TB0-Y970-T0Y7&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Bahr v Nicolay (No 2) [1988] 164 CLR 604, CLR ____.
[https://anzlaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I5d2906c087aa11e8aca5bab3c9b3f468/View/FullText.html?transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)&VR=3.0&RS=cb1t1.0](https://anzlaw.thomsonreuters.com/Document/I5d2906c087aa11e8aca5bab3c9b3f468/View/FullText.html?transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default)&VR=3.0&RS=cb1t1.0).
Barry v Fenton [1952] NZLR 990 (SC), New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T40-Y970-T120&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Bartle v GE Custodians [2010] NZCA 174, [2010] 3 NZLR 601, New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5189-RCW1-DYBR-Y422&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Barton Ginger and Company, Limited v Wellington Harbour Board [1951] NZLR 673, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T40-Y970-T1Y5&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Bay Flight 2012 Ltd v Flight Care Ltd [2012] NZAR 436.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=55MR-WGH1-DYBR-S4R5&csi=274471&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Beale v Tihema Te Hau (1905) 24 NZLR 883 (SC).

<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/6845215c-786e-469f-a0e8-b38fa4221fd5/?context=1230042&federationidp=R2WHBZ52569>.

Bennion, Tom. New Zealand Land Law. 2nd ed. Wellington [N.Z.]: Brookers, 2009.

———. New Zealand Land Law. 2nd ed. Wellington [N.Z.]: Brookers, 2009.

Biggs v Hoddinott [1898] 2 Ch 307 (Engl. CA).

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I86856390111F11DD9603E7F6FBD67DC6.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=eab41e47-130a-418d-9f1d-8941430813f9&contextData=\(sc.DocLink\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I86856390111F11DD9603E7F6FBD67DC6.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=eab41e47-130a-418d-9f1d-8941430813f9&contextData=(sc.DocLink)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Boatspray Ltd v The Ship "Shengala" HC Auckland, CIV-2009-404-002524, 28 August 2009.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=54BB-1HG1-DYBT-W109&csi=274472&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Bognuda v Upton & Shearer Ltd [1972] NZLR 741 (CA), New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7TB0-Y970-T03N&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Boyd v Mayor of Wellington [1924] NZLR 1174 (CA) (Stout CJ).

<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/aa25b4f7-dd5e-4296-89e5-6d5a892b06f1/?context=1230042&federationidp=R2WHBZ52569>.

Boyer v Mccracken [2017] NZHC 755.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5NC6-JSC1-DYBR-W01C&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Breskvar v Wall (1971) 126 CLR 376 (HCA).

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=lce594f799d5711e0a619d462427863b2&epos=1&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_CASES&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=13&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true.

Brian Green Properties (1971) Ltd v Bindon Holdings Ltd [2016] NZHC 1993.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5KK3-V331-DYBR-W4HT&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Brian Green Properties (1971) Ltd v Bindon Holdings Ltd [2016] NZHC 2847.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5MDB-G141-DYBR-W4S0&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Buckinghamshire Council v Moran [1990] Ch 623 (CA).

[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1981000632](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1981000632).

Burrows, Andrew, ed. 'William Swadling "Property: General Principles" in Andrew Burrows (Ed) English Private Law (2nd Ed, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007)'. In English Private Law. Oxford University Press, 2013.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199661770.003.0004>.

Cassegrain v Gerard Cassegrain & Co Pty Ltd [2015] HCA 2.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?src=document&docguid=I0433bbb2ac8311e480a69619c9f10308&epos=1&snippets=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&parentinfo=.

City of London Corp v Fell [1993] QB 589.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I64BE27E0122011DDB3CBE2AC6B57B4D3.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=bf69e646-7803-4ea1-8d83-d456ec42a971&contextData=\(sc.DocLink\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I64BE27E0122011DDB3CBE2AC6B57B4D3.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=bf69e646-7803-4ea1-8d83-d456ec42a971&contextData=(sc.DocLink)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Clarke, Alison, and Paul Kohler. Alison Clarke and Paul Kohler Property Law: Commentary and Materials (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005) at 259-261. Law in context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/vuw/reader.action?docID=243990&ppg=307>.

Cohen v Sellar [1926] 1 KB 536. <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

Crown Money Corporation Ltd v Pink-Martin HC Auckland CIV-2008-404-000297, 5 September 2008.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4TDJ-MG40-TWP2-F107&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Davey v Baker [2016] NZCA 313.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5KYR-BW11-DYBR-W2SG&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Davis v Lethbridge [1976] 1 NZLR 689, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T30-Y970-T554&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Dollars and Sense Finance Ltd v Nathan (2008) 9 NZCPR 116 (SC).

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4T4S-5VX0-TWRW-H09R&csi=275546&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Doodeward v Spence (1908) 6 CLR 406 (HCA).

[http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/nsw/NSWStRp/1908/60.html?stem=0&synonyms=0&query=title\(Doodeward%20and%20Spence%20\)](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/nsw/NSWStRp/1908/60.html?stem=0&synonyms=0&query=title(Doodeward%20and%20Spence%20)).

Downsview Nominees Ltd v First City Corporation Ltd [1993] 1 NZLR 513 (JC), New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D10-4G40-TWP2-H148&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

- Duncan v McDonald [1997] 3 NZLR 669 (CA).
<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/c2b75655-1ed5-4013-b8af-8d345be3da63/?context=1230042&federationidp=R2WHBZ52569>.
- Edwards v Newland & Co [1950] 2 KB 534 (CA). <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.
- Efstratiou v Glantschnig [1972] NZLR 594 (CA).
<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/414227b9-476a-41c6-84f8-ed623ac4f1e9/?context=1230042&federationidp=R2WHBZ52569>.
- Fairclough v Swan Brewery Co Ltd [1912] AC 565 (HL). <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.
- Fatac Ltd (In Liquidation) v Commissioner of Inland Revenue [2002] 3 NZLR 648, New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T40-Y970-T29F&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.
- Felix S Cohen "Dialogue on Private Property" (1954-1955) Rutgers L Rev 357. n.d.
http://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=5362&context=fss_papers.
- Frazer v Walker [1967] 1 AC 569 (PC) (Headnote).
<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/5d09285d-ea45-470d-b118-9ba4cf080279/?context=1230042&federationidp=R2WHBZ52569>.
- GE Custodians v Bartle [2010] NZSC 146, [2011] 2 NZLR 31, New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=52SS-4T21-DYBR-Y38P&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.
- German v Chapman [1877] 7 Ch. D. 271. <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.
- Gibbs v Messer [1891] AC 248 (PC).
[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I6DA06440107C11DDBD0D9841A0D10357.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=b21841e2-b651-4a86-b1e5-f757b683999b&contextData=\(sc.Search\)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I6DA06440107C11DDBD0D9841A0D10357.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=b21841e2-b651-4a86-b1e5-f757b683999b&contextData=(sc.Search)).
- Graham v Portacom New Zealand Ltd [2004] 2 NZLR 528 (HC)., New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T40-Y970-T288&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.
- Greenslade v Honeymoon Bay Holdings [2012] NZHC 3346.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=579N-DSD1-DYBR-W226&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.
- Hammond, R. G. Personal Property: Commentary and Materials. Rev. ed. Auckland, N.Z.: Oxford University Press, 1992.
- Harnden v Collins [2010] 2 NZLR 273, New Zealand Law Reports ____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7YGR-5J10-Y970-T0CB&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Harris v Fitzmaurice [1956] NZLR 975 (SC).

<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/b8a1214b-279f-4c22-9623-436a387567d9/?context=1230042&federationidp=R2WHBZ52569>.

Haywood v The Brunswick Permanent Benefit Building Society [1881] 8 QBD 403.

<http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

'Hill v Tupper (1863) 2 H & C 122'.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I41F557C0BE6F11E08907CB01B3922728.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=96d9aca9-8055-42a2-96f2-4b8cd3fd10f5&contextData=\(sc.DocLink\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I41F557C0BE6F11E08907CB01B3922728.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=96d9aca9-8055-42a2-96f2-4b8cd3fd10f5&contextData=(sc.DocLink)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Hinde, G. W., N. R. Campbell, Peter Twist, and G. W. Hinde. Principles of Real Property Law. Academic ed. Wellington [N.Z.]: LexisNexis, 2007.

Housing Corporation v Māori Trustee [1988] 2 NZLR 662 (HC).

<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/05be0662-ff08-4e21-a31c-10e82efb853c/?context=1230042&federationidp=R2WHBZ52569>.

J S Brookshank & Co (Australasia) Ltd v EXFTX Ltd [2009] NZCA 122.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5JJR-YN51-DYBR-W3KB&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Jansen v Mansor (1995) 3 NZConvC 192,111 (CA).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=54BY-1C51-DYBT-W42F&csi=274472&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Jocelyn Edwards; Re the Estate of the Late Mark Edwards [2011] NSWSC 478, Health lawPersonal property ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?src=document&docguid=Id22e3825b5b411e098d0e1cfb2d6720c&snippets=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&isTocNav=true&ocDs=AUNZ_CASE_TOC&parentinfo=&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Jones v Morgan [2001] EWCA Civ 995 (Engl. CA).

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=2001519681&pubNum=4902&originatingDoc=ID0A54340E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=\(sc.UserEnteredCitation\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=2001519681&pubNum=4902&originatingDoc=ID0A54340E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=(sc.UserEnteredCitation)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Kalmac Property Consultants Ltd v Delicious Foods Ltd [1974] 2 NZLR 631, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T40-Y970-T2VF&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Kingfish Lodge (1993) Ltd v Archer [2000] 3 NZLR 364 (CA), New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D10-4G50-TWP2-H184&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Knightsbridge Estates Trust Ltd v Byrne [1939] Ch 441 (Engl. CA).

<https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I4DDB29D0111F11DD9603E7F6FBD67DC6.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&>

uniqueId=7925b985-282f-48b5-a3a1-fc2dbd225bb0&contextData=(sc.DocLink)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Knowles v Henderson [1991] 1 NZ ConvC 190,704.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=54BB-YXK1-DYBT-W315&csi=274472&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Knowles v Henderson [1992] 2 NZ ConvC 191,355.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=54BY-1C71-DYBT-W4YC&csi=274472&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Land Transfer Amendment Act 1963, S3.

<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1963/0061/1.0/DLM349467.html>.

Linden Gardens Trust Ltd v Lenesta Sludge Disposals Ltd [1994] 1 AC 85 (HL).

<http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

'Lindy Wilmott and Bill Duncan "Clogging the Equity of Redemption: An Outmoded Concept?" (2005) 2 (1) Law and Justice Journal 35'. Law and Justice Journal, n.d.

[http://tewaharoa.victoria.ac.nz/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=4&tabs=viewOnlineTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_informit_apaft742996396259218&indx=1&reclDs=TN_informit_apaft742996396259218&reclDs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=4&vid=VUW&mode=Basic&mulExcFctN=facet_rtype&mulExcFctN=facet_rtype&fctExcV=reviews&fctExcV=newspaper_articles&tab=all&rftExcGrp=%3F&rftExcGrp=%3F&dscnt=0&vl\(freeText0\)=Lindy%20Wilmott%20and%20Bill%20Duncan%20%E2%80%9CClogging%20the%20Equity%20of%20Redemption%3A%20an%20outmoded%20Concept&dstmp=1471765408912](http://tewaharoa.victoria.ac.nz/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=4&tabs=viewOnlineTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_informit_apaft742996396259218&indx=1&reclDs=TN_informit_apaft742996396259218&reclDs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=4&vid=VUW&mode=Basic&mulExcFctN=facet_rtype&mulExcFctN=facet_rtype&fctExcV=reviews&fctExcV=newspaper_articles&tab=all&rftExcGrp=%3F&rftExcGrp=%3F&dscnt=0&vl(freeText0)=Lindy%20Wilmott%20and%20Bill%20Duncan%20%E2%80%9CClogging%20the%20Equity%20of%20Redemption%3A%20an%20outmoded%20Concept&dstmp=1471765408912).

Lockwood Buildings Ltd v Trust Bank Canterbury Ltd [1995] 1 NZLR 22 (CA), New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4D10-4FY0-TWP2-H10M&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Loke Yew v Port Swettenham Rubber Company [1913] AC 493.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I3F494E10111F11DD9603E7F6FBD67DC6.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=e31b9b6d-c223-4fdc-94fc-f56b3869cb03&contextData=\(sc.Search\)](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/Blob/I3F494E10111F11DD9603E7F6FBD67DC6.pdf?targetType=inline&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentImage&uniqueId=e31b9b6d-c223-4fdc-94fc-f56b3869cb03&contextData=(sc.Search)).

Mabo v Queensland (1992) 175 CLR 1 (HCA), CLR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=I52ff7e769d5d11e0a619d462427863b2&epos=1&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nlds=AUNZ_SEARCHALL&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=6&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Macken v Jervis [2014] NZHC 3408.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5F5V-GWH1-DYBR-W248&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

McEvoy v McEvoy HC Christchurch CIV-2007-409-002946, 22 May 2008.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4SMK-P0S0-TWP2-F0RB&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Merrill, Thomas W., and Henry E. Smith. The Oxford Introductions to U.S. Law: Property. Oxford introductions to U.S. law. New York: Oxford University Press, 2010.

Miller v Minister of Mines [1963] NZLR 560 (PC).

<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/6d1a4727-08db-45fe-93ac-25056e68ebca/?context=1230042&federationidp=R2WHBZ52569>.

Moore v. Regents of University of California | Westlaw.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1990105493&pubNum=0000661&originatingDoc=1b689f02553cb11d9a99c85a9e6023ffa&refType=RP&originatingContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&p=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1990105493&pubNum=0000661&originatingDoc=1b689f02553cb11d9a99c85a9e6023ffa&refType=RP&originatingContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=(sc.Search)&p=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Murray v BC Group (2003) Ltd [2010] NZCA 163, [2010] 3 NZLR 590, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5189-RCX1-DYBR-Y09P&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

National Crime Authority v Flack [1998] 156 ALR 501 (FCA), FCR ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?&src=search&docguid=155db7b1330d611e5960feb5a5b726e12&epos=1&snippets=true&fcwh=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&nstid=std-anz-highlight&nsds=AUNZ_SEARCHALL&isTocNav=true&tocDs=AUNZ_CASES_TOC&context=7&extLink=false&searchFromLinkHome=true&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Nordern v Blueport Enterprises Ltd and Others [1996] 3 NZLR 450 (HC), New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7TB0-Y970-T0XF&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Official Assignee of Palmer v Sharpe [1921] NZLR 460, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7XPS-2JK0-Y970-T19D&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

P & A Swift Investments v Combined English Stores Group Plc [1989] AC 632.

<http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

Parker v British Airways [1982] 1 QB 1004; [1982] 1 All ER 834; [1982] 2 WLR 503.

[http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates\\$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1981003319](http://cases.iclr.co.uk/sub/gateway.dll?f=templates$fn=caseview-frame.htm&icid=1981003319).

Peacock v Custins [2002] 1 WLR 1815. <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

Percival v Dunn (1885) 29 Ch 128. <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

Personal Property Securities Act 1999.

<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1999/0126/latest/whole.html>.

Phillips v Brooks [1919] 2 KB 243 (KB). <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

Polymer Systems (1999) Ltd v Montgomerie [2002] 3 NZLR 383, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7TB0-Y970-T118&>

[amp;csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true.](#)

Power Farming NZ Ltd v McCaw Contracting Ltd [2017] NZHC 441.

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5N7N-DMY1-DYBR-W0C&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true.](http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5N7N-DMY1-DYBR-W0C&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true)

Property Law Act 1952, s 130.

[http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1952/0051/latest/DLM267065.html.](http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1952/0051/latest/DLM267065.html)

Public Trust v Ottow [2009] 10 NZCPR 879 (HC).

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7YR4-4G40-Y98T-T2PD&csi=275546&oc=00240&perma=true.](http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7YR4-4G40-Y98T-T2PD&csi=275546&oc=00240&perma=true)

Rabobank New Zealand Ltd v McAnulty [2011] 3 NZLR 192, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=53SF-PMR1-DYBR-Y07K&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true.](http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=53SF-PMR1-DYBR-Y07K&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true)

Re Ellenborough Park [1956] Ch 131. <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

Regis Property Co Ltd v Dudley [1959] AC 370. <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

Richard Boast The Native Land Court 1862-1887: A Historical Study, Cases, and Commentary (Brookers NZ, Auckland, 2013) 756-781. n.d.

Richard Calnan Proprietary Rights and Insolvency (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010) at [2.01]-[2.29]. Oxford: Oxford University Press, n.d.

Robot Arenas v Waterfield [2010] EWHC 115 (QB). <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

S Rowton Simpson. 'The Torrens System'. In Land Law and Registration. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1976.

Salt of the Earth v Finer [2008] NZHC 1137, (2008) 9 NZCPR 563 (HC).

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7VJM-3XJ0-Y98T-T030&csi=275546&oc=00240&perma=true.](http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7VJM-3XJ0-Y98T-T030&csi=275546&oc=00240&perma=true)

Simon Roberts "More Lost Than Found" (1982) 45 MLR 683. n.d.

<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1468-2230.1982.tb02501.x/epdf>.

Smith v Jones [2014] NZHC 2674.

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5HC4-CFH1-DYBT-W12D&csi=274472&oc=00240&perma=true.](http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5HC4-CFH1-DYBT-W12D&csi=274472&oc=00240&perma=true)

Southland Hospital Board v Perkins Estate [1986] 1 NZLR 373, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

[http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T40-Y970-T1PD&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true.](http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T40-Y970-T1PD&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true)

Southwark BC v Mills [1999] 4 All ER 449.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=1999234930&pubNum=4902&originatingDoc=IB7410F50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=\(sc.UserEntere](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=1999234930&pubNum=4902&originatingDoc=IB7410F50E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=(sc.UserEntere)

dCitation)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Squally Cove Forestry Partnership v Wagg [2013] 3 NZLR 793, New Zealand Law Reports

_____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=59TC-M681-DYBR-Y0MV&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Stanhill Pty Ltd v Jackson [2005] 12 VR 224, Real property Restrictive covenants Proceedings to discharge, extinguish or modify Restriction obsolete or reasonable user of land impeded Victoria Real property Restrictive covenants Proceedings to discharge, extinguish or modify No substantial injury Victoria ____.

http://www.westlaw.co.nz/maf/wlnz/app/document?src=document&docguid=I09d83e21b5f011e098d0e1cfb2d6720c&snippets=true&startChunk=1&endChunk=1&isTocNav=true&toCDS=AUNZ_CASE_TOC&parentinfo=&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell.

Stiassny v Commissioner of Inland Revenue [2013] 1 NZLR 453, New Zealand Law Reports

_____.
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=57X2-BK01-DYBR-Y2NT&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

StockCo Ltd v Gibson [2012] NZCA 330; [2012] 10 NZBLC 99-709.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=568W-B161-DYBR-W48V&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Stockco Ltd v Walker [2011] NZAR 669.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=53XY-3TY1-DYBR-S3NR&csi=274471&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Sutton v O'Kane [1973] 2 NZLR 304 (CA).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T30-Y970-T3MP&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

T A Dallaca Ltd v PDL Industries Ltd [1992] 3 NZLR 88 (HC), New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7TB0-Y970-T08C&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Tait, Emma. 'Liability of Lessees and Assignees of Commercial Leases in New Zealand.'

Victoria University of Wellington Law Review 39, no. 2 (2008).

[http://tewaharoa.victoria.ac.nz/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=3&tabs=viewOnlineTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_gale_ofa189636828&indx=1&reclds=TN_gale_ofa189636828&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=3&dscnt=0&vl\(547469497UI0\)=any&mode=Basic&vid=VUW&tab=all&dstmp=1492334086973&frbg=&frbrVersion=3&viewAllItemsClicked=false&scp.scps=scope:\(JNZS_vuw_ac_nz\),scope:\(Exams_vuw_ac_nz\),scope:\(NZJIR_vuw_ac_nz\),scope:\(researcharchive_vuw_ac_nz\),scope:\(NZREF_vuw_ac_nz\),scope:\(AJL_vuw_ac_nz\),scope:\(LEW_vuw_ac_nz\),scope:\(KOTARE_vuw_ac_nz\),scope:\(NZAROE_vuw_ac_nz\),scope:\(64VUW\),primo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&srt=rank&dum=true&selectedLocation=&vl\(freeText0\)=emma tait](http://tewaharoa.victoria.ac.nz/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?frbrVersion=3&tabs=viewOnlineTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=TN_gale_ofa189636828&indx=1&reclds=TN_gale_ofa189636828&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=3&dscnt=0&vl(547469497UI0)=any&mode=Basic&vid=VUW&tab=all&dstmp=1492334086973&frbg=&frbrVersion=3&viewAllItemsClicked=false&scp.scps=scope:(JNZS_vuw_ac_nz),scope:(Exams_vuw_ac_nz),scope:(NZJIR_vuw_ac_nz),scope:(researcharchive_vuw_ac_nz),scope:(NZREF_vuw_ac_nz),scope:(AJL_vuw_ac_nz),scope:(LEW_vuw_ac_nz),scope:(KOTARE_vuw_ac_nz),scope:(NZAROE_vuw_ac_nz),scope:(64VUW),primo_central_multiple_fe&tb=t&srt=rank&dum=true&selectedLocation=&vl(freeText0)=emma tait).

Taitapu Gold Estates v Prouse [1916] NZLR 825 (HC).

<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/38c8f481-5c48-473e-ab4a-c662ac34d4e3/?context=1230042&federationidp=R2WHBZ52569>.

Tamworth Industries Ltd v Attorney-General [1991] 3 NZLR 616 (HC), New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7TB0-Y970-T0HV&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

The Pioneer Container [1994] 2 AC 324 (PC). <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

Thomas v Times Book Co Ltd [1966] 1 WLR 911 (Ch). n.d.

<http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

Tim Murphy, Simon Roberts and Tatiana Flessas Understanding Property Law (4th Ed, Sweet & Maxwell, London, 2004) at 1-5. 4th ed. Understanding law. London: Sweet & Maxwell, n.d.

Toll Logistics (NZ) Ltd v McKay [2011] 2 NZLR 601, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5397-GWT1-DYBR-Y1D9&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Tram Lease Ltd v Croad [2003] 2 NZLR 461 (CA), New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T90-Y970-T55H&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Tse Kwong Lam v Wong Chit Sen [1983] 1 WLR 1349. <http://libguides.victoria.ac.nz/law>.

Tulk v Moxhay (1848) 41 ER 1143.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I4F35C830673311DC9280C8956ECF36C5/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad6040400000156abb5cc0d16e5a5a0?Nav=INTERNATIONAL-CASES&navQualifier=I1dec5f10a08911e28578f7ccc38dcbee&fragmentIdentifier=I4F35C830673311DC9280C8956ECF36C5&startIndex=1&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&transitionType=SearchItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=3b7789c262ec20edda5082940a445fbb&list=ALL&rank=1&grading=na&sessionScopelId=96d8ee5e778af3cc3d033492d5083856aac0c2f1211f495319176f94b2805955&originationContext=SearchResult&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=\(sc.Search\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/I4F35C830673311DC9280C8956ECF36C5/View/FullText.html?navigationPath=Search/v3/search/results/navigation/i0ad6040400000156abb5cc0d16e5a5a0?Nav=INTERNATIONAL-CASES&navQualifier=I1dec5f10a08911e28578f7ccc38dcbee&fragmentIdentifier=I4F35C830673311DC9280C8956ECF36C5&startIndex=1&contextData=(sc.Search)&transitionType=SearchItem&listSource=Search&listPageSource=3b7789c262ec20edda5082940a445fbb&list=ALL&rank=1&grading=na&sessionScopelId=96d8ee5e778af3cc3d033492d5083856aac0c2f1211f495319176f94b2805955&originationContext=SearchResult&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=(sc.Search)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Wellington Racing Club v Symons [1923] NZLR 1, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7XPS-2JK0-Y970-T2PG&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Westpac New Zealand Ltd v Clark [2010] 1 NZLR 82 (SC) (Elias CJ).

<https://advance.lexis.com/api/permalink/ab3cf220-3671-4036-9ca3-a3063e4376ef/?context=1230042&federationidp=R2WHBZ52569>.

Westpac v Clark [2009] NZSC 73, [2010] 1 NZLR 82 (SC), New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-RV40-Y970-T019&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Williams v Williams [1956] NZLR 970, New Zealand Law Reports ____.

<http://www.lexisnexus.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=7X85-7T60-Y970-T0N4&csi=274508&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Wood Bay Enterprises Ltd v Wise [2012] NZHC 1136.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=56R7-V8G1-DYBR-W1Y5&csi=274469&oc=00240&perma=true>.

Yanner v Eaton [1999] HCA 53. n.d.

<http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/HCA/1999/53.html>.

Yearworth v North Bristol NHS Trust [2009] EWCA Civ 37.

[https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=2017749734&pubNum=4902&originatingDoc=IAE4C0840F34E11DD94299D2B6D023239&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=\(sc.DocLink\)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=g&serNum=2017749734&pubNum=4902&originatingDoc=IAE4C0840F34E11DD94299D2B6D023239&refType=UD&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=(sc.DocLink)&sp=nz-wln-VicUWell).

Zhao v Huang [2014] NZHC 132.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/nz/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5BJR-X181-DYBT-W08G&csi=274472&oc=00240&perma=true>.